

ONLINE APPENDIX
Elections in the Arab World: Why Do Citizens Turn
Out?

Carolina de Miguel, University of Toronto
Amaney Jamal, Princeton University
Mark Tessler, University of Michigan

Sampling Procedure, Country Team Leaders and Institutional Partners

- **Jordan 2006.** Center for Strategic Studies at Jordan University. A stratified random sampling technique was employed for adults 18 and over. The population was stratified proportionally by governorate. It was further divided into clusters based on maps of housing units from which blocks were randomly selected. The sample was further stratified by urban and rural areas.
- **Palestine 2006.** Palestine Center for Policy and Survey Research. A stratified random sampling technique was employed for adults 18 and over. The population was stratified proportionately between the West Bank and Gaza and then further sub-divided into city, village and refugee camp. Blocks were then randomly selected in proportion to the population parameters.
- **Algeria 2006.** Abdallah Bedaida, University of Algiers; 2011 (N=1216), Nasr Djabi, University of Algiers. A stratified random sampling technique was employed for adults 18 and over. The sample was stratified proportionally by wilaya and the primary sampling unit was district. The sample was further stratified by urban and rural areas.
- **Morocco 2006.** Mhammed Abderebbi, Hassan II University-Mohammadia. Area probability sample with quotas provided by the National Bureau of Statistics in Morocco. They selected 100 zones (60 urban and 40 rural) and took quotas for type of living situation, gender, age, whether married or not, socio-economic level and level of education.
- **Yemen 2007.** Tareq Almthagi, Yemeni Statistics Authority and Khalid Yasin, Interactions in Development A stratified random sampling technique was employed for adults 18 and over. The population was stratified proportionally by governorate. It

was further divided into clusters of housing units from which blocks were randomly selected. It was further stratified by urban and rural areas.

- **Lebanon 2007.** Rabih Haber, Statistics Lebanon. A stratified random sampling technique was employed for adults 18 and over. The population was stratified proportionally by districts. It was further divided into clusters based on maps of housing units from which blocks were randomly selected. The sample was further stratified by urban and rural areas.
- **Bahrain 2009.** The survey was coordinated by Justin Gengler, who employed a random sample of 500 households that was obtained for this study by the Bahrain Center for Studies and Research from the Central Informatics Organization. Given the small size of the Bahraini population, this meant that 1 of every 1055 Bahraini citizens were interviewed. The survey instrument was administered in face-to-face interviews by fieldworkers that Gengler recruited and trained. As a rule, Shi'i interviewers conducted interviews in Shi'a-dominated areas and Sunni interviewers were sent to Sunni areas.

Table 1: Summary statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.	N
Vote	0.61	0.49	0	1	6779
Used Government Wasta	0.14	0.35	0	1	7006
Used Wasta	0.27	0.45	0	1	7006
Evaluation of Economic Performance	2.07	0.79	1	4	6616
Personal Economic Condition	2.53	0.75	1	4	7080
Trust in the Regime	0	1	-1.42	1.78	6430
Electoral Legitimacy	0.62	0.48	0	1	5840
Support for Political Islam	0	1	-1.96	1.75	6245
Member	0.18	0.38	0	1	7140
Education	3.85	1.74	1	7	7238
Age	2.70	1.41	1	7	7229
Female	0.48	0.50	0	1	7255

Table 2: Effect of “Government Wasta” Disaggregated by Country

Dependent Variable: Vote	JO	PA	AL	MO	LE	YE	BA
Used Government Wasta	.173 (.21)	.410 (.28)	.755*** (.24)	.420** (.19)	.785*** (.22)	.456 (.29)	-.083 (.30)
Personal Economic Condition	-.357*** (.10)	-.375*** (.09)	.100 (.12)	-.001 (.10)	-.068 (.10)	-.015 (.17)	-.153 (.23)
Eval of Economic Performance	-.052 (.10)	.083 (.10)	.232** (.12)	.236*** (.08)	.095 (.10)	.504*** (.17)	.468** (.20)
Support for Political Islam	-.037 (.10)	-.008 (.08)	-.107 (.09)	.223*** (.08)	-.182* (.10)	.124 (.16)	-.084 (.16)
Member	.239 (.29)	1.074*** (.23)	.990*** (.19)	.267 (.21)	.672*** (.19)	1.623*** (.31)	.382 (.30)
Education	.079 (.05)	.152*** (.06)	-.032 (.05)	.002 (.05)	.018 (.05)	.116 (.08)	.135 (.11)
Age	.446*** (.06)	.013 (.05)	.086 (.07)	-.004 (.05)	.579*** (.06)	.250** (.12)	.555*** (.11)
Female	.553*** (.15)	-.264* (.15)	-.088 (.16)	.015 (.14)	-.157 (.14)	-.205 (.23)	.092 (.27)
Constant	-.252 (.45)	1.014** (.40)	-.909* (.48)	-.339 (.38)	-1.454*** (.44)	-1.820** (.74)	-2.147** (.93)
No. of cases	895	1010	721	902	1009	412	321

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 3: Effect of “Wasta” Disaggregated by Country

Dependent Variable: Vote	JO	PA	AL	MO	LE	YE	BA
Used Wasta	.201 (.17)	.128 (.21)	.359** (.16)	.278** (.14)	.619*** (.19)	.426* (.24)	.026 (.28)
Personal Economic Condition	-.357*** (.10)	-.378*** (.09)	.101 (.12)	-.010 (.10)	-.081 (.10)	-.048 (.17)	-.148 (.23)
Eval of Economic Performance	-.048 (.10)	.074 (.10)	.219* (.12)	.244*** (.08)	.103 (.10)	.522*** (.17)	.463** (.19)
Support for Political Islam	-.037 (.10)	-.007 (.08)	-.109 (.09)	.230*** (.08)	-.176* (.10)	.145 (.16)	-.074 (.16)
Member	.237 (.29)	1.084*** (.22)	1.017*** (.19)	.225 (.21)	.672*** (.19)	1.650*** (.31)	.377 (.30)
Education	.076 (.06)	.151*** (.06)	-.037 (.05)	.011 (.05)	.023 (.05)	.111 (.08)	.127 (.11)
Age	.446*** (.06)	.008 (.05)	.077 (.07)	-.000 (.05)	.583*** (.06)	.254** (.12)	.555*** (.11)
Female	.558*** (.15)	-.276* (.15)	-.078 (.16)	.001 (.14)	-.142 (.14)	-.160 (.23)	.095 (.27)
Constant	-.279 (.45)	1.074*** (.40)	-.880* (.48)	-.397 (.38)	-1.489*** (.44)	-1.851** (.74)	-2.144** (.93)
No. of cases	895	1010	721	902	1009	412	321


* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 4: Effect of “Wasta” by “Personal Economic Condition” Disaggregated by Country

	JO	JO	PA	PA	AL	AL	MO	MO
	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich
Used Wasta	.078 (.30)	.254 (.21)	-.137 (.29)	.325 (.29)	.147 (.25)	.494** (.22)	.389 (.26)	.237 (.17)
Eval Econ Performance	-.207 (.17)	.015 (.13)	.238 (.16)	-.125 (.13)	.523*** (.19)	.056 (.15)	.445*** (.16)	.167* (.10)
Support for Political Islam	.095 (.17)	-.102 (.12)	-.105 (.12)	.060 (.12)	-.021 (.13)	-.201* (.12)	.348** (.16)	.185* (.10)
Member	-.102 (.59)	.367 (.34)	.937*** (.33)	1.266*** (.31)	1.204*** (.29)	.920*** (.25)	-.171 (.39)	.383 (.25)
Education	.065 (.10)	.072 (.07)	.160* (.08)	.106 (.08)	-.123 (.08)	.024 (.07)	.003 (.10)	.016 (.05)
Age	.492*** (.11)	.420*** (.07)	-.091 (.08)	.119 (.08)	-.052 (.10)	.167* (.09)	-.034 (.09)	.011 (.06)
Female	.815*** (.27)	.396** (.18)	-.541** (.21)	-.011 (.21)	.046 (.26)	-.181 (.21)	-.069 (.26)	.022 (.17)
Constant	-.773 (.73)	-1.395*** (.52)	.573 (.56)	.034 (.50)	-.501 (.61)	-.690 (.60)	-.694 (.59)	-.296 (.37)
No. of cases	311	584	530	480	324	397	275	627

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Figure 1: Mediation Model B: Robustness



Percent of Total Effect Mediated = 0.51

Table 5: Effect of “Wasta” by “Personal Economic Condition” Disaggregated by Country
(continued)

	LE	LE	YE	YE	BA	BA
	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich
Used Wasta	1.145*** (.28)	.038 (.27)	.304 (.46)	.515* (.29)	.033 (.72)	-.023 (.33)
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.085 (.13)	.118 (.16)	.256 (.36)	.593*** (.20)	1.110** (.51)	.267 (.22)
Support for Political Islam	-.275** (.13)	-.047 (.15)	-.106 (.26)	.272 (.20)	-.558 (.40)	.032 (.18)
Member	.816*** (.27)	.562* (.29)	1.889*** (.61)	1.546*** (.36)	-1.074 (.75)	.783** (.35)
Education	-.027 (.07)	.058 (.08)	.082 (.13)	.121 (.09)	.228 (.31)	.118 (.13)
Age	.577*** (.08)	.590*** (.09)	.296 (.20)	.271* (.15)	.251 (.28)	.681*** (.13)
Female	-.029 (.19)	-.289 (.22)	-.035 (.44)	-.211 (.28)	.072 (.79)	.127 (.31)
Constant	-1.620*** (.56)	-1.614*** (.60)	-1.605 (1.07)	-2.194*** (.78)	-3.129 (2.26)	-2.412** (.96)
No. of cases	583	426	117	295	58	263

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 6: Effect of “Government Wasta” by “Personal Economic Condition” Disaggregated by Country

	JO	JO	PA	PA	AL	AL	MO	MO
	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich
Government Wasta	.031 (.38)	.247 (.25)	-.031 (.37)	.837* (.44)	.376 (.37)	.982*** (.33)	.065 (.34)	.597** (.24)
Eval Econ Performance	-.208 (.17)	.009 (.13)	.241 (.16)	-.101 (.13)	.516*** (.19)	.097 (.15)	.440*** (.16)	.154 (.10)
Support Political Islam	.098 (.17)	-.105 (.12)	-.103 (.12)	.058 (.12)	-.022 (.13)	-.188 (.12)	.342** (.16)	.181* (.10)
Member	-.103 (.59)	.369 (.34)	.928*** (.33)	1.258*** (.31)	1.168*** (.29)	.924*** (.25)	-.135 (.38)	.433* (.25)
Education	.066 (.10)	.076 (.07)	.161* (.08)	.105 (.08)	-.121 (.08)	.035 (.07)	-.011 (.10)	.003 (.05)
Age	.493*** (.11)	.419*** (.07)	-.088 (.08)	.126* (.08)	-.048 (.10)	.187** (.09)	-.041 (.09)	.009 (.06)
Female	.810*** (.27)	.394** (.18)	-.532** (.21)	-.000 (.21)	.054 (.26)	-.219 (.21)	-.042 (.26)	.037 (.17)
Constant	-.761 (.73)	-1.365*** (.52)	.531 (.55)	-.049 (.50)	-.503 (.60)	-.815 (.60)	-.506 (.57)	-.240 (.37)
No. of cases	311	584	530	480	324	397	275	627

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 7: Effect of “Government Wasta” by “Personal Economic Condition” Disaggregated by Country (continued)

	LE	LE	YE	YE	BA	BA
	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich
Used Government Wasta	1.238*** (.32)	.229 (.33)	.700 (.51)	.370 (.36)	-.241 (.73)	-.033 (.34)
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.083 (.13)	.113 (.16)	.319 (.37)	.558*** (.20)	1.114** (.51)	.268 (.22)
Support for Political Islam	-.286** (.13)	-.050 (.15)	-.147 (.27)	.246 (.20)	-.578 (.39)	.031 (.18)
Member	.773*** (.27)	.560* (.29)	1.884*** (.61)	1.520*** (.36)	-1.052 (.74)	.781** (.35)
Education	-.025 (.07)	.058 (.08)	.067 (.13)	.133 (.09)	.238 (.32)	.118 (.13)
Age	.566*** (.08)	.594*** (.09)	.292 (.20)	.258* (.15)	.230 (.28)	.681*** (.13)
Female	-.056 (.19)	-.287 (.22)	-.080 (.42)	-.256 (.28)	.084 (.80)	.126 (.31)
Constant	-1.545*** (.56)	-1.643*** (.60)	-1.674 (1.07)	-1.995*** (.76)	-3.062 (2.26)	-2.414** (.96)
No. of cases	583	426	117	295	58	263

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 8: Effect of Patronage by Personal Economic Condition (with Lebanon)

Dependent Variable: Vote	Models w/ Gov Wasta		Models w/ Wasta	
	Poor	Rich	Poor	Rich
Used Government Wasta	.426*** (.15)	.367*** (.12)		
Used Wasta			.346*** (.12)	.225** (.10)
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.247*** (.07)	.174*** (.05)	.248*** (.07)	.179*** (.05)
Support for Political Islam	-.078 (.06)	.013 (.05)	-.070 (.06)	.010 (.05)
Member	.574*** (.15)	.841*** (.12)	.577*** (.15)	.835*** (.12)
Education	.050 (.03)	.084*** (.03)	.052 (.03)	.087*** (.03)
Age	.227*** (.04)	.330*** (.04)	.230*** (.04)	.329*** (.04)
Female	-.007 (.10)	.006 (.08)	-.003 (.10)	.002 (.08)
Jordan	.729*** (.18)	.150 (.14)	.764*** (.18)	.177 (.14)
Palestine	1.093*** (.16)	.651*** (.15)	1.143*** (.16)	.679*** (.15)
Morocco	.408** (.19)	.329** (.15)	.405** (.19)	.335** (.15)
Bahrain	.357 (.31)	.321* (.17)	.420 (.31)	.371** (.17)
Yemen	.361 (.22)	.517*** (.16)	.401* (.22)	.532*** (.16)
Lebanon	.572*** (.17)	.319* (.17)	.638*** (.17)	.350** (.17)
Constant	-1.394*** (.29)	-1.611*** (.25)	-1.476*** (.29)	-1.657*** (.25)
No. of cases	2198	3072	2198	3072

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Sample Weights: Included

Reference Category: Algeria

Note: pooled model with Lebanon

Table 9: Mediation Model B: Robustness

Dependent Variable	Model 2 Electoral Legitimacy	Model 3 Vote
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.715*** (.05)	.114** (.05)
Electoral Legitimacy		.906*** (.08)
Used Government Wasta	.060 (.10)	.294*** (.11)
Personal Economic Condition	.279*** (.05)	-.203*** (.05)
Support for Political Islam	.042 (.04)	-.025 (.04)
Member	.113 (.09)	.718*** (.11)
Education	-.033 (.02)	.059** (.03)
Age	.006 (.03)	.328*** (.03)
Female	.218*** (.07)	-.028 (.07)
Jordan	.794*** (.12)	.423*** (.13)
Palestine	2.506*** (.14)	.352*** (.12)
Morocco	-.741*** (.13)	.555*** (.13)
Lebanon	1.251*** (.13)	.369*** (.14)
Bahrain	.243 (.15)	.525*** (.17)
Yemen	.083 (.14)	.902*** (.16)
Constant	-2.348*** (.24)	-1.123*** (.24)
No. of cases	4742	4544

p < 0.10, **p < 0.05, *p < 0.01*

Sample Weights: Included

Reference Category: Algeria

Table 10: Mediation Model A Disaggregated by Country

Dep Var:	Jordan			Palestine			Algeria		
	Vote	Trust	Vote	Vote	Trust	Vote	Vote	Trust	Vote
Eco Perfor	-.052 (.10)	.327*** (.04)	-.107 (.11)	.083 (.10)	.311*** (.04)	.048 (.11)	.232** (.12)	.381*** (.04)	.121 (.13)
TrustReg			.162* (.09)			.254*** (.09)			.380*** (.11)
Gov Wasta	.173 (.21)	-.116 (.08)	.212 (.22)	.410 (.28)	-.174* (.09)	.375 (.29)	.755*** (.24)	.085 (.08)	.732*** (.25)
Pers Econ	-.357*** (.10)	.204*** (.04)	-.396*** (.11)	-.375*** (.09)	.046 (.04)	-.369*** (.10)	.100 (.12)	.292*** (.04)	.027 (.13)
Pol Islam	-.037 (.10)	-.030 (.04)	.017 (.10)	-.008 (.08)	.165*** (.03)	-.067 (.09)	-.107 (.09)	-.049 (.03)	-.103 (.09)
Member	.239 (.29)	.071 (.11)	.180 (.31)	1.074*** (.23)	-.087 (.07)	1.079*** (.24)	.990*** (.19)	.171*** (.07)	.905*** (.20)
Educa	.079 (.05)	-.080*** (.02)	.118** (.06)	.152*** (.06)	.012 (.02)	.143** (.06)	-.032 (.05)	-.017 (.02)	-.027 (.06)
Age	.446*** (.06)	-.000 (.02)	.517*** (.06)	.013 (.05)	-.027 (.02)	.042 (.06)	.086 (.07)	.030 (.02)	.083 (.07)
Female	.553*** (.15)	.107* (.06)	.578*** (.16)	-.264* (.15)	.111* (.06)	-.367** (.16)	-.088 (.16)	.147** (.06)	-.151 (.18)
Constant	-.252 (.45)	-.514*** (.17)	-.432 (.47)	1.014** (.40)	-.372** (.15)	1.034** (.43)	-.909* (.48)	-1.912*** (.17)	-.279 (.54)
N	895	847	827	1010	942	923	721	703	662

Table 11: Mediation Model A Disaggregated by Country (continued)

Dep Var:	Morocco			Lebanon			Yemen		
	Vote	Trust	Vote	Vote	Trust	Vote	Vote	Trust	Vote
EcoPerfor	.236*** (.08)	.590*** (.03)	.013 (.10)	.095 (.10)	.508*** (.03)	-.097 (.12)	.504*** (.17)	.479*** (.06)	.246 (.20)
Trust Reg			.374*** (.09)			.356*** (.10)			.484*** (.18)
GovWasta	.420** (.19)	-.069 (.08)	.415** (.20)	.785*** (.22)	-.013 (.07)	.821*** (.23)	.456 (.29)	-.098 (.09)	.381 (.31)
Pers Econ	-.001 (.10)	.060 (.04)	-.009 (.11)	-.068 (.10)	.168*** (.03)	-.112 (.10)	-.015 (.17)	.275*** (.06)	-.029 (.20)
Islam	.223*** (.08)	.093*** (.03)	.208** (.09)	-.182* (.10)	.063* (.03)	-.227** (.10)	.124 (.16)	-.002 (.05)	.181 (.17)
Member	.267 (.21)	.005 (.08)	.167 (.21)	.672*** (.19)	.236*** (.06)	.596*** (.20)	1.623*** (.31)	.294*** (.09)	1.810*** (.35)
Educ	.002 (.05)	-.014 (.02)	.029 (.05)	.018 (.05)	-.001 (.02)	.015 (.05)	.116 (.08)	-.021 (.03)	.101 (.08)
Age	-.004 (.05)	-.020 (.02)	.035 (.05)	.579*** (.06)	.016 (.02)	.585*** (.06)	.250** (.12)	-.016 (.04)	.314** (.14)
Female	.015 (.14)	.222*** (.06)	-.084 (.15)	-.157 (.14)	-.017 (.05)	-.205 (.15)	-.205 (.23)	-.202** (.08)	-.093 (.25)
Constant	-.339 (.38)	-1.721*** (.16)	.150 (.42)	-1.454*** (.44)	-1.570*** (.15)	-.887* (.48)	-1.820** (.74)	-1.909*** (.25)	-1.174 (.85)
N	902	971	839	1009	993	973	412	369	364

Table 12: Mediation Model A Disaggregated by Country (continued)

	Bahrein		
	Vote	Trust in the Regime	Vote
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.468** (.20)	.914*** (.07)	.171 (.26)
Trust in the Regime			.255 (.17)
Used Government Wasta	-.083 (.30)	.076 (.11)	-.064 (.32)
Personal Economic Condition	-.153 (.23)	.057 (.09)	-.038 (.25)
Support for Political Islam	-.084 (.16)	.018 (.06)	-.059 (.18)
Member	.382 (.30)	-.062 (.11)	.321 (.33)
Education	.135 (.11)	-.053 (.04)	.114 (.13)
Age	.555*** (.11)	.067* (.04)	.672*** (.13)
Female	.092 (.27)	.039 (.11)	-.043 (.31)
Constant	-2.147** (.93)	-2.249*** (.34)	-1.784 (1.10)
N	321	284	276

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Table 13: Base Model of Turnout: Robustness Checks I

Dependent Variable: Vote	No Weights	No Weights Clust. St Err	Weights Clust. St Err
Evaluation of Economic Performance	.221*** (.04)	.177*** (.06)	.216*** (.07)
Used Government Wasta	.379*** (.10)	.423*** (.11)	.367*** (.12)
Personal Economic Condition	-.144*** (.04)	-.163** (.08)	-.155** (.08)
Support for Political Islam	-.018 (.04)	-.040 (.06)	-.037 (.05)
Member	.752*** (.09)	.774*** (.19)	.768*** (.21)
Education	.071*** (.02)	.034 (.04)	.049 (.04)
Age	.286*** (.03)	.240** (.10)	.282*** (.10)
Female	.010 (.06)	.033 (.11)	.040 (.11)
Jordan	.382*** (.11)		
Palestine	.863*** (.11)		
Morocco	.397*** (.12)		
Lebanon	.451*** (.12)		
Bahrain	.410*** (.15)		
Yemen	.530*** (.13)		
Constant	-1.220*** (.21)	-.396 (.45)	-.654 (.50)
No. of cases	5270	5270	5270

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Reference Category: Algeria

Table 14: Base Model of Turnout: Robustness Checks II

	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6
Used Government Wasta	.452*** (.09)	.483*** (.09)	.463*** (.09)			
Used Wasta				.282*** (.07)	.287*** (.07)	.296*** (.07)
Eval Economic Performance	.213*** (.04)	.185*** (.04)	.200*** (.04)	.217*** (.04)	.187*** (.04)	.203*** (.04)
Personal Economic Condition	-.131*** (.04)			-.139*** (.04)		
Member	.757*** (.09)	.746*** (.09)		.754*** (.09)	.743*** (.09)	
Education	.076*** (.02)	.069*** (.02)		.079*** (.02)	.072*** (.02)	
Age	.285*** (.03)	.288*** (.03)		.284*** (.03)	.288*** (.03)	
Female	.060 (.06)	.053 (.06)		.057 (.06)	.049 (.06)	
Jordan	.373*** (.10)	.384*** (.10)	.256*** (.09)	.405*** (.10)	.416*** (.10)	.287*** (.09)
Palestine	.851*** (.10)	.889*** (.10)	.858*** (.09)	.887*** (.10)	.927*** (.10)	.895*** (.10)
Morocco	.389*** (.11)	.380*** (.11)	.239*** (.09)	.393*** (.11)	.383*** (.11)	.234** (.09)
Lebanon	.453*** (.10)	.501*** (.10)	.561*** (.09)	.497*** (.10)	.548*** (.10)	.609*** (.09)
Bahrain	.410*** (.14)	.406*** (.13)	.450*** (.13)	.473*** (.14)	.470*** (.13)	.514*** (.13)
Yemen	.466*** (.12)	.427*** (.12)	.377*** (.11)	.490*** (.12)	.450*** (.12)	.399*** (.11)
Constant	-1.292*** (.20)	-1.569*** (.18)	-.379*** (.10)	-1.331*** (.20)	-1.623*** (.18)	-.427*** (.10)
No. of cases	5825	5933	6042	5825	5933	6042

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Sample Weights: Included

Reference Category: Algeria